United States District Court Southern District of Texas

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ENTERED

March 06, 2025 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

	for the	
	Southern District of Texas	
United States of America v.)	
)	Case No. 4:24-cr-634-1
Jerry Ervin)	
Defendant)	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Up	on	the
----	----	-----

☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or	
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),	

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

3 (-)
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
\square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\square (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
□ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
□ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed or a combination of such offenses; or
\square (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
□ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
0.2140(0.1) 0.000 1.100 1.11 1.100 1.100

- § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; *and*
- □ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

□ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant fro imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.	m
 B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance committed one or more of the following offenses: ☑ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971 or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); ☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; ☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; ☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or ☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 22514 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2424 or 2425. 	he intention determined the second se
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
 ☑ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above with respect to dangerousnes and detention is ordered on that basis. OR 	<u>ss</u> ,
☑ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption only with respect to risk of non appearance, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	<u>n-</u>
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	g,
⊠ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assu the safety of any other person and the community.	re
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.	he
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
 □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ⋈ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ⋈ Prior criminal history ⋈ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision □ History of violence or use of weapons □ History of alcohol or substance abuse ⋈ Lack of stable employment □ Lack of stable residence 	

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
⊠ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Even assuming that Defendant Jerry Ervin had presented sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption that his release would pose a danger to the community (he did not), the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that his track record of persistent drug distribution—including while released on prior drug-distribution charges—poses a serious safety risk that cannot be adequately addressed by any conditions of release. Defendant's history reflects a nearly unbroken chain of offenses, sentences, and convictions since age 17. They include multiple prior felony convictions for drug distribution—totaling almost 40 years in prison sentences. Although Defendant was paroled following his last Texas state conviction in 2014, he picked up yet another drug-trafficking charge in 2022, in North Carolina—a clear violation of his parole. Moreover, both the indictment underlying this case and the Government's evidence at the detention hearing show that Defendant persisted in trafficking drugs in 2024, particularly kilogram quantities of fentayl, an extremely hazardous substance. This pattern of conduct evinces Defendant's total disregard of his parole, not to mention the law in general.

Dealing controlled substances self-evidently poses a serious risk to community safety. And even being placed on restrictions like parole has not kept Defendant from continuing to traffic drugs. Moreover, absolutely nothing suggests that appointing his wife to serve as third-party custodian would dissuade or deter Defendant from drug trafficking, which he has done through the course of their relationship. And more broadly, Defendant's continued commission of new and serious offenses even while on parole clearly shows that he is unlikely to abide by any conditions of release that this Court could impose. Indeed, Defendant has a prior conviction for evading arrest and a much more recent one for failing to identify himself or providing false information, which only further undermine any notion that he would comply with conditions of release. It is therefore **ORDERED** that Defendant Jerry Ervin be **DETAINED** pending trial.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: March 6, 2025

United States Magistrate Judge